

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,721	11/21/2003	Wen-Chi Chien	24061.501	9530	
42717 75	90 11/09/2006		EXAMINER		
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 3100			SHECHTMAN, SEAN P		
DALLAS, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2125	2125	
			DATE MAILED: 11/09/2006	DATE MAILED: 11/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/719,721	CHIEN, WEN-CHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Sean P. Shechtman	2125				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J.' nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Oc	ctober 2006.					
·	action is non-final.					
,	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 86-101</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-4,7-17 and 86-101 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are objected to.	coloction requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5)  Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/20/06</u> .	o,					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-17 and 86-101 are presented for examination. Claims 1 and 86 have been amended.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Rejections withdrawn in light of the amendment filed October 20<sup>th</sup> 2006.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 1-4, 7-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 6,842,655 to Collins (hereinafter referred to as Collins).

Referring to claims 1-4, 7-17 Collins teaches a method, system, apparatus, and medium (whole document), comprising:

receiving requests for fabrication of a product lot from an order entry system (Col. 33, line 64 – Col. 34, line 12);

receiving procedures defining which manufacturing equipment is required for said fabrication of said product lot from a process information system (Col. 3, lines 21-22, process steps for product);

receiving a status of said fabrication of said product lot (Col. 3, lines 7-44, lots in queue of current process step or process step completed by each lot prior to entering queue of current process step), a criticality factor for each manufacturing equipment (Col. 6, lines 34-39 and/or Col. 34, lines 66-67 and 45-47, historical average queue size for process step with machine used to process the product), and a queue level for each piece of manufacturing equipment that

follows a current group of pieces of manufacturing equipment required for said product lot from a manufacturing information system (Col. 3, lines 7-44, the current queue size for the next process step);

calculating a priority factor for said product lot from a listing of said defined manufacturing equipment required for said fabrication of product (Col. 3, lines 22-23), said criticality factor (Col. 6, lines 34-39), and said queue level (Col. 3, lines 7-44, prioritize which lot is selected for processing),

balancing of loading of each group of pieces of manufacturing equipment following said current group of pieces of manufacturing equipment from said priority factor such that said product lot is processed at an expeditious time for on-time delivery (Col. 34, lines 39-50);

wherein said product lot is substrates onto which integrated circuits are fabricated; wherein said manufacturing equipment is integrated circuit processing equipment for the formation of integrated circuits upon substrates; wherein the integrated circuit processing equipment includes furnaces and substrate cleaning equipment (Col. 3, line 5 – Col. 4, line 25).

4. Claims 86-101 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 5,444,632 to Kline et al (hereinafter referred to as Kline).

Referring to claims 86 and 101, Kline teaches a method of selecting a selected product lot to be processed in a first piece of manufacturing equipment from a plurality of product lots ready for processing in the first piece of manufacturing equipment (whole document, especially Col. 17, lines 34-64), the method comprising:

for each product lot in the plurality of product lots ready for processing, calculating a ranking factor (Col. 17, lines 62-64), the calculating comprising:

determining a first magnitude of processing to be done; determining a second magnitude of production capacity; and computing the ranking factor as a ratio of the first magnitude to the second magnitude (Col. 9, line 66 – Col. 10, line 3; Col. 12, line 39-40; Col. 13-14, lines 21-51, for example %CAP of C5 & C4 = 100% because the machine has a 48 wafer capacity, C5 has 24 wafers and C4 has 24 wafers, therefore 100\*((24 + 24)/48) = 100% and by reference to a point calculating graph such as that shown in Fig. 11 returns a ranking factor of 4.8 points); determining a highest priority ranking factor (Col. 13-14, lines 21-51, for example lot C1 and C2); and designating a product lot of the plurality of product lots with the highest priority ranking factor as the selected product lot (Col. 14, lines 10-14, picking the winner).

- 87. The method of claim 86 wherein the calculating further comprises identifying a piece of manufacturing equipment that will process the product lot subsequent to processing of the product lot by the piece of manufacturing equipment (Col. 17 lines 34-35).
- 88. The method of claim 87 wherein the first magnitude is a count of product lots ready for processing in the second piece of manufacturing equipment (Col. 17 lines 34-35; Col. 9, line 66 Col. 10, line 3; Col. 12, line 39-40; Col. 13-14, lines 21-51).
- 89. The method of claim 87 wherein the second magnitude is a function of: a count of machines of a type of the second piece of manufacturing equipment; an average number of product lots that a machine of the type of the second piece of manufacturing equipment is capable of processing; and an efficiency factor for the second piece of manufacturing equipment

(Col. 17 lines 34-35; Col. 9, line 66 – Col. 10, line 3; Col. 12, line 39-40; Col. 13-14, lines 21-51,).

- 90. The method of claim 87 wherein one or more product lots ready for processing in the second piece of manufacturing equipment will be subsequently processed by a third piece of manufacturing equipment and the first magnitude is a sum of: a count of product lots ready for processing in the second piece of manufacturing equipment that will be subsequently processed by the third piece of manufacturing equipment; a count of product lots in processing by the second piece of manufacturing equipment that will be subsequently processed by the third piece of manufacturing equipment; a count of product lots ready for processing in the third piece of manufacturing equipment (Col. 17 lines 34-35; Col. 9, line 66 Col. 10, line 3; Col. 12, line 39-40; Col. 13-14, lines 21-51,).
- 91. The method of claim 87 wherein one or more product lots ready for processing in the second piece of manufacturing equipment will be subsequently processed by a third piece of manufacturing equipment, and the second magnitude is a function of: a count of machines of a type of the third piece of manufacturing equipment; an average number of product lots that a machine of the type of the third piece of manufacturing equipment is capable of processing; and an efficiency factor for the third piece of manufacturing equipment (Col. 17 lines 34-35; Col. 9, line 66 Col. 10, line 3; Col. 12, line 39-40; Col. 13-14, lines 21-51,).
- 92. The method of claim 87 wherein the calculating further comprises: determining if the second piece of equipment is of a first type; and if the second piece of equipment is not of the first type, assigning the ranking factor a first priority level (Col. 14, lines 50-57; Col. 13-14, lines 63- Col. 15-16, end of table).

Application/Control Number: 10/719,721 Page 6

Art Unit: 2125

- 93. The method of claim 92 wherein the first type is critical (Col. 14, lines 50-56).
- 94. The method of claim 92 wherein the first priority level is zero (Col. 15, for example, SWB = 0).
- 95. The method of claim 87 wherein one or more product lots ready for processing in the second piece of manufacturing equipment will be subsequently processed by a third piece of manufacturing equipment, and the calculating further comprises: determining if the third piece of equipment is of a type; and if the third piece of equipment is not of the type, assigning the ranking factor a priority level (Col. 14, lines 50-57; Col. 13-14, lines 63- Col. 15-16, end of table).
  - 96. The method of claim 95 wherein the type is critical (Col. 14, lines 50-56).
- 97. The method of claim 95 wherein the priority level is zero (Col. 15, for example, SWB = 0).
- 98. The method of claim 86 wherein the product lots are substrates for integrated circuits (Col. 1, lines 29-35).
- 99. The method of claim 86 wherein the first piece of manufacturing equipment is a piece of integrated circuit processing equipment (Col. 1, lines 29-35).
- 100. The method of claim 99 wherein the piece of integrated circuit processing equipment is one out of a group consisting of a furnace and a substrate cleaning machine (Col. 8, lines 10-34; Col. 4, lines 34-45).

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim

and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Neither Collins nor the prior art of record, taken either alone or in obvious combination disclose method, system, apparatus, and medium for dispatching of at least one product lot for processing to manufacturing equipment within processing stages of a manufacturing line, having all the claimed features of applicant's instant invention, specifically including the calculation of the priority factor using formulae of claims 5 and 6.

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed October 20<sup>th</sup> 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean P. Shechtman whose telephone number is (571) 272-3754. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30am-6:00pm, M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,721

Art Unit: 2125

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo P. Picard can be reached on (571) 272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SPS

Sean P. Shechtman

November 3, 2006

LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

L. P.P.

Page 8